

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

_____)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	OEA Matter No.: 1601-0218-12
Sarella Robinson,)	
Employee)	
)	Date of Issuance: March 8, 2013
v.)	
)	
Office of the State)	Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
Superintendent of Education,)	Senior Administrative Judge
Agency)	
_____)	
Sarella Robinson, Employee <i>pro se</i>		
Hillary Hoffman-Peak, Esq., Agency Representative		

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF FACTS

Employee filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (OEA) on August 23, 2012, appealing Agency’s final decision to terminate her for “any act which constitutes a criminal offense whether or not the act results in a conviction, specifically: making a false statement or representation knowing it to be a false or knowingly failing to disclose a material fact to obtain or increase unemployment benefits.” A mandatory mediation was held on January 17, 2013, and resulted in a settlement agreement. Around February 22, 2013, the parties submitted a signed withdrawal of her petition. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

This Office has jurisdiction pursuant to D.C. Office Code Section 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should this matter be dismissed?

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

The Administrative Judge is authorized to dismiss this matter based on Employee’s request that the petition for appeal be withdrawn as a result of the voluntary settlement of the matter. *See Rollins v. District of Columbia Public Schools*, OEA Matter No. J-0086-92, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (December 3, 1990).

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that the petition for appeal is DISMISSED with prejudice.

FOR THE OFFICE:

Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge