

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE**

**THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS**

_____	)	
In the Matter of:	)	
	)	OEA Matter No.: 1601-0152-12
Antoinette Hayes,	)	
Employee	)	
	)	Date of Issuance: December 20, 2012
v.	)	
	)	
Office of the State Superintendent	)	Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
of Education,	)	Senior Administrative Judge
Agency	)	
_____	)	
Antoinette Hayes, Employee <i>pro se</i>	)	
Hillary Hoffman-Peak, Esq., Agency Representative	)	

**INITIAL DECISION**

INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF FACTS

Employee filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (OEA) on July 17, 2012, appealing Agency’s final decision to terminate her employment as a Bus Attendant for “any on-duty act or omission that the employee knew or should have reasonably known is a violation of law.” The parties engaged in mediation on November 29, 2012. On December 11, 2012, the parties signed a settlement agreement. Employee subsequently submitted a withdrawal of her petition. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

This Office has jurisdiction pursuant to D.C. Office Code Section 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should this matter be dismissed?

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

The Administrative Judge is authorized to dismiss this matter based on Employee’s request that the petition for appeal be withdrawn as a result of the voluntary settlement of the matter. *See Rollins v. District of Columbia Public Schools*, OEA Matter No. J-0086-92, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (December 3, 1990).

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that the petition for appeal is DISMISSED with prejudice.

FOR THE OFFICE:

Joseph E. Lim, Esq.  
Senior Administrative Judge