

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register*. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

_____)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	OEA Matter No.: 1601-0330-10
TANDRA FAISON,)	
Employee)	
)	Date of Issuance: January 24, 2013
v.)	
)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA)	
PUBLIC SCHOOLS,)	
Agency)	Sommer J. Murphy, Esq.
_____)	Administrative Judge
Tandra Faison, Employee, <i>Pro Se</i>		
Carl Turpin, Esq., Agency Representative		

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On July 14, 2010, Tandra Faison (“Employee”) filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA” or the “Office”) contesting the District of Columbia Public Schools’ (“Agency”) action of terminating her employment. The effective date of Employee’s termination was July 16, 2010.

On November 21, 2012, I issued an Order Convening a Prehearing Conference to be held on January 24, 2013. Prior to the conference, Agency produced a Settlement Agreement signed by Employee regarding the above-captioned appeal. Employee conceded during the conference that the parties did in fact engage in settlement negotiations and subsequently entered into a written settlement agreement. Accordingly, Employee submitted a motion to withdrawal her Petition for Appeal.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this appeal should be dismissed.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

Since Employee has voluntarily withdrawn her appeal, Employee's Petition for Appeal is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that Employee's Petition for Appeal is DISMISSED.

FOR THE OFFICE:

SOMMER J. MURPHY, ESQ.
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE